

An update on pleural disease

Conflicts of interest

- Sponsored by Rocket Medical to attend conferences
- Honoraria by AstraZaneca for speaker fees

Why me?

- Newcastle medical school 2000-2005
- Trained in region as registrar
- 1 year in Australia
- 1 year in pleural fellowship
- 2 years pleural consultant
- About 50 publications, abstracts, posters, presentations worldwide
- Faculty member on thoracoscopy, ERS, Asia Pacific courses and conferences
- Written pleural guidelines
- Trial recruiter

Pleural disease- vast topic

- Malignant vs non malignant disease
- Unilateral vs bilateral disease
- Pneumothorax
- Diagnostics
- Treatment
- Palliation
- Many guidelines- BTS ones most up-to-date, and many books

Vignette

- 62 year old male, breathless
- Admitted under cardiology with bilateral pleural effusions, pedal oedema and a raised jvp
- History of hypertension, previous heavy smoking and deep vein thrombosis. He is diuretic naïve.
- Echo LV function 40% , BNP 5000
- Intravenous diuretics, fluid restriction and blood pressure control help in alleviating some breathlessness but his effusions, though smaller, persist.

Respiratory opinion

- Some asbestos exposure (previous shipyard worker) 40 years ago.
- Bedside thoracic ultrasound left sided effusion, bigger than the right.

pH of 7.32, LDH 300units/L, protein 33g/L with respective plasma values of 550units/L and 89g/L. Cytology is negative.

A chest computer tomogram shows bilateral effusions with mild enhancement of the left pleural surface.

• Continued diuresis? Reassurance? Further imaging? Further diagnostics?

Diagnostics

• Light's criteria

Pleural fluid is exudate if one of the following is present:

- 1.Effusion protein/serum protein ratio greater than 0.5
- 2.Effusion lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)/serum LDH ratio greater than 0.6
- 3.Effusion LDH level greater than two-thirds the upper limit of the laboratory's reference range of serum LDH

pH of 7.32, LDH 300units/L, protein 33g/L with respective plasma values of 550units/L and 89g/L.

Diagnostics

- 80% of patients with bilateral effusions have cardiac failure and 75% of those resolve within 48 hours of starting diuresis
- 20% of those become exudative due to protein re-absorption and resolution of venous hypertension.
- The diagnostic sensitivity of Light's criteria approaches 96% but care must be taken when applying the criteria to effusions in chronic heart failure

Our patient has an exudate and heart failure

Continued diuresis? Reassurance? Further imaging? Further diagnostics?



• Commonest cause of an exudate is malignancy <u>BUT although up to 15% of transudates can be malignant</u>

Cytology is positive in up to 60% of malignant effusions A second sample only increases diagnostic yield by about 15%



CT scanning in pleural disease

- Delayed ph
- Sensitivity of



Pleural nodularity - CT guided biopsies – high sensitivities and specificities



Abram's needle biopsies are only useful in areas of high tuberculosis incidence and only have a diagnostic rate of 57% for malignancy

The Pleural Clinic

- Timely appointment; 2 week rule
- 45 mins NP / 30 mins FU procedures
- 'One-stop' clinic (CT before)
 - History / examination
 - Thoracic USS
 - Diagnostic (+/- therapeutic) aspiration
 - Baseline bloods
 - Thoracoscopy / IPC
 - Discuss potential trial entry







Induce pneumothorax









Obtain cytology



Drain pleural space











Drug Discovery Today



Biopsies...





Talc Poudrage (If indicated...)





Chest drain

• 24 / 28 F:





Malignant pleural effusion





Malignant pleural effusion

Primary site	Approx Freq.	
Lung	37%	
Breast / Ovary	21%	
Lymphoma	10%	
Mesothelioma	10%	
G-U	9%	
G-I	7%	

About 40,000 new cases per year in UK

Management algorithm for malignant pleural effusion.



Mark E Roberts et al. Thorax 2010;65:ii32-ii40

Copyright © BMJ Publishing Group Ltd & British Thoracic Society. All rights reserved.



Malignant effusions

• Median survival MPEs 4 months (3-12)



score, Thorax 2014

Changing Principles of treatment

Direct drainage strategy

- Patient centred- improve symptoms and QOL, patient in control
- Effective and timely, day case?
- Minimal side effects
- Reasonable cost



Evidence

- Relief of dyspnea in 90% of patients with IPCs placed for MPE (1)
- Idea of offering IPCs as a first-line alternative to inpatient pleurodesis
 (2)
- 100 pts IPC insertion as a first-line therapy (suitable for pleurodesis)
 improvement in symptoms in all patients 2 weeks after IPC insertion (3)
- 1. Van Meter MEM, McKee KY, Kohlwes RJ: Efficacy and safety of tunneled pleural catheters in adults with malignant pleural effusions: a systematic review. J Gen Intern Med 2011; 26: 70–76
- 2. Tremblay A, Michaud G: Single-center experience with 250 tunnelled pleural catheter insertions for malignant pleural effusion. Chest 2006; 129: 362–368.
- 3. Tremblay A, Mason C, Michaud G: Use of tunnelled catheters for malignant pleural effusions in patients fit for pleurodesis. Eur Respir J 2007; 30: 759–762.



From: Effect of an Indwelling Pleural Catheter vs Chest Tube and Talc Pleurodesis for Relieving Dyspnea in Patients With Malignant Pleural Effusion: The TIME2 Randomized Controlled Trial

JAMA. 2012;307(22):2383-2389. doi:10.1001/jama.2012.5535



Figure Legend:

The error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

TIME 2 trial

- Secondary endpoints favouring IPC group
- 1. Proportion of patients who achieved a clinically significant relief in their symptoms (86 vs. 74%)
- 2. Median length of initial hospital stay (0 vs. 4 days)
- 3. Median number of days spent in hospital for drainage over the following 12 months (1 vs. 4.5 days).



From: Effect of an Indwelling Pleural Catheter vs Chest Tube and Talc Pleurodesis for Relieving Dyspnea in Patients With Malignant Pleural Effusion: The TIME2 Randomized Controlled Trial

JAMA. 2012;307(22):2383-2389. doi:10.1001/jama.2012.5535

Type of Adverse Event	IPC		Talc	
	l Serious	Nonserious	l Serious	Nonserious
Pleural infection	5	2	1	0
Cellulitis	1	5	0	1
Symptomatic fluid loculation requiring fibrinolytics	1	2	1	0
Catheter site metastases	0	1	0	0
Catheter blockage	1	9	1	0
Other ^b	1	0	2	3
Total	9	19	5	4

Table 2. Summary of Adverse Events by Treatment Group^a

Abbreviations: IPC, indwelling pleural catheter; talc, chest tube and talc slurry pleurodesis.

^a This table represents the total number of adverse events. A single patient may have had more than 1 adverse event. ^b The serious adverse events included in the "Other" category were chest pain requiring readmission (1 IPC), surgical emphysema (1 talc), persistent air leak (1 talc). The 3 nonserious adverse events in the talc group were all chest tube displacement prior to pleurodesis. The complications of symptomatic fluid loculation requiring fibrinolytics, cellulitis, and blocked catheter in the talc group were observed in 2 patients who had IPCs inserted following failure of pleurodesis.



Outcome of patients with nonspecific pleuritis/fibrosis on thoracoscopic pleural biopsies

Eur J Cardiothorac Surg, 38 (2010), pp. 472–477

- Retrospective case-note study
- N=142
 - 44=CFP (31%) follow up until death/mean 21 months
 - Mean protein 41/LDH 602
 - 5 (12%) of these malignant
 - Mean interval 9.8 (+/-4.6) months
 - No correlation with thoracoscopic suspicion etc

Advise vigilant follow-up

PSP – ambulatory management

- How is it done?
- Evidence base
 - Safe?
 - Effective?
- The future

Outpatient management of PSP: a prospective study Massongo, 2013

- Observational, prospective
 - No control
- All patients >16 with 1st PSP (n=60)
 - 20% small (BSP criteria)
 - Observed
 - 80% large (BSP criteria)
 - 8.5ch pigtail + Heimlich
- Primary endpoint success at day 7
- 2 year follow-up for recurrence

Outpatient management of PSP: a prospective study Massongo, 2013 Conclusions

- 2 Complications
 - 1 REPO, 1 effusion
- 50% patients fully ambulatory/OP
- Effective compares to standard drain
- Reduced LOS

 Compared to IP drain, 24 x large PSP estimated saving <u>>114,000 euro</u> Rocket Medical R54565 **Pleural Vent**







Contents of the Pleural Vent

- Needle mounted (Verres Needle)
- 8fg Catheter
- Self contained one way valve and vent (Heimlich Valve)
- Indication Diaphragm
- 26ml volume container
- Self closing valve
- +ve Pressure release valve
- Needless port
- Hydrocolloid Dressing
- Insertion kit
- AND A CE Mark.....





How does this work and why is it different?

• Self contained device that allows complete ambulation during use.







Questions?

